# Broad GDAC Pipeline Run Status February 2011

March 3, 2011
Michael S. Noble
mnoble@broadinstitute.org

#### Summary of TCGA Tumor Data Ingested into Broad GDAC Pipeline 02/17/2011 Run

TumorType	Biospecimen	Any_Level_1	Clinical	CNA	Methylation	mRNA	miR	MAF
BRCA	434	186	244	265	186	346	0	0
CESC	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COAD	203	151	160	137	167	155	0	64
GBM	508	448	460	466	288	471	415	199
HNSC	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KIRC	354	39	19	254	219	41	0	0
KIRP	48	39	15	16	36	41	0	0
LAML	202	0	0	0	188	0	0	135
LGG	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUAD	128	21	11	56	128	33	0	0
LUSC	161	116	42	117	133	134	0	0
OV	576	570	524	519	425	519	566	384
READ	79	52	78	51	69	69	0	13
STAD	82	35	0	81	82	0	0	0
THCA	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UCEC	192	24	103	114	70	0	0	0
Total	3069	1681	1656	2076	1991	1809	981	795

Two new tumor types: CESC, THCA

Combined COAD+READ dataset analyzed & uploaded to DCC

Another run planned next 2-3 days: new colorectal data for AWG

Summary of TCGA Tumor Data Ingested into Broad GDAC Pipeline January 14, 2010 Run

Tumor Type	Biospecimen	Any_Level_1	Clinical	CNA	Methylation	mRNA	miR	MAF
BRCA	346	186	244	265	186	280	0	0
COAD	203	151	130	137	167	155	0	64
GBM	508	448	490	466	288	444	415	169
HNSC	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KIRC	355	39	19	254	219	41	0	0
KIRP	48	39	0	16	36	41	0	0
LAML	202	0	0	0	188	0	0	0
LGG	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUAD	128	21	11	56	128	33	0	0
LUSC	160	116	42	117	133	116	0	0
OV	584	570	532	519	425	519	566	384
READ	79	52	72	51	69	69	0	12
STAD	82	35	0	81	82	0	0	0
UCEC	145	24	0	114	70	0	0	0
Totals	2909	1681	1540	2076	1991	1698	981	629

No time to create diffs plot yet, but high on the list ...

## Analyses Summary: 17 Tumor datasets

Tumor Type	# Completed	Percentage	
OV	25	100%	
GBM	25	100%	
All Combined	22	88%	
COAD	15	60%	
LUSC	15	60%	
COADREAD	14	56%	
BRCA	12	48%	
KIRC	12	48%	
LUAD	12	48%	
READ	10	40%	
KIRP	7	28%	
UCEC	4	16%	
STAD	3	12%	
LAML	2	8%	
CESC	0	0%	
HNSC	0	0%	
THCA	0	0%	

New FireHose web services make this status reporting easy Suite of staus reports should be available online within ~1 week When new **gdac.broadinstitute.org** website goes live

#### Results

- Every completed pipeline uploaded to DCC
- Available from tinyurl.com/tcga-gdac-broad/[TUMOR]/2011021700
- Circa 841 files: 300 mergers, 541 analysis results (primary + aux)
- As promised, pipeline names have changed to be more:
  - compact
  - consistent
  - clear
  - descriptive (e.g. indicate data type and/or function)

https://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/tcgafiles/ftp\_auth/distro\_ftpusers/tcga4yeo/other/gdacs/gdacbroad [TUMOR]/2011021700

#### New Pipeline Nomenclature

convertCNLeveIIIData MakeReducedSegment Gistic2 CopyNumber\_Preprocess
CopyNumber\_GeneBySample
CopyNumber\_Gistic2

GDAC CNMF\_mRNA\_clustering GDAC mRNAConsensusClustering GDAC median mRNA Expression mRNA\_Clustering\_CNMF mRNA\_Clustering\_Consensus mRNA\_Preprocess\_Median

GDAC CNMF\_miRNA\_clustering GDAC miRNAConsensusClustering Find miR Direct Targets miR\_Clustering\_CNMF miR\_Clustering\_Consensus miR\_FindDirectTargets

Old

#### New Pipeline Nomenclature ...

GDAC\_clinicalDataMergerPipeline\_clinicalGDAC\_clinicalDataPickerPipeline\_clinical

Clinical\_Merge\_Tier1
Clinical\_Pick\_Tier1

Paradigm GDAC\_geneListPathwayEnrichmentPipeline Pathway\_ParadigmLite Pathway\_FindEnrichedGenes

MutSig MutationAssessor Mutation\_Significance Mutation\_Assessor

Old New

#### New Pipeline Nomenclature ...

Correlate microRNA Expression with Clinical Data
Correlate mRNA Expression with Clinical data
Correlate gene mutation status with Clinical data
Correlate miRNA CNMF clustering with Clinical data
Correlate miRNA consensus clustering with Clinical data
Correlate mRNA CNMF clustering with Clinical data
Correlate mRNA consensus clustering with Clinical data
Correlate mRNA consensus clustering with Clinical data
GetCopyNumberExpCor
GetCopyNumberExpCorMiRNA
GDAC Correlate Expression with Methylation

Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_mRNA
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_Mutation
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_miR\_Clusters\_CNMF
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_miR\_Clusters\_Consensus
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_mRNA\_Clusters\_CNMF
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_mRNA\_Clusters\_CNMF
Correlate\_Clinical\_vs\_mRNA\_Clusters\_Consensus
Correlate\_CopyNumber\_vs\_mRNA
Correlate\_CopyNumber\_vs\_miR
Correlate\_Methylation\_vs\_mRNA

Old New

#### Nozzle: new pipeline reporting library

- Nils Gehlenborg, Lihua Zou, et al
- R implementation; others may follow
- Reduces need to write HTML to ~zero: analysts focus on science content
- Being integrated into Firehose infrastructure & GenePattern pipelines
- Inconsistent/static HTML → Consistent/dynamic, using CSS & JavaScript
- Tags: allow automatic seeding 70-80% of tumor analysis summary report
- Should be visible in results of late March run

#### Data Volatility: Biggest Impediment to Automation

- Clinical: consider COAD Tier 1 CDEs: in late Feb (19th?) 2011:
  - admin.dayofdccupload
  - changed to: admin.daystodccupload
- DCC gets advance notification, but others downstream do not
- Breaks pipeline automation -- requires seemingly endless manual intervention
- How can DCC validation help maintain downstream automation?
- To whom else can we look to define & enforce standards?

#### Data Volatility: clinical requests ...

- Can experts in each cancer WG provide us list of clinical variables of interest to that cancer type?
- From which we can then generate the tier 2 clinical data?
- And recommend simple analyses that could be provided by our pipelines?
- Whose outputs can be added as new columns into the tier 1/2 data?

#### Data Volatility: New-Submission-Format SDRF?

- With BCR data: no indication of which tar files go together
- EXAMPLE: recently we were informed there was new GBM data ...
- Saw one new batch was submitted, and went to work on it ...
- ... next day remaining 14 batches showed up
- A new-submission-format SDRF file would have minimized problems
- Batch 37 was mirrored Feb 24, the others on Feb 25

#### Data Volatility: Samples in multiple batches

- Ovarian clinical samples appear to be hopping between batches
- Last month: BCR samples from batch 3 began being duplicated in batch 4, for both biospecimen and clinical data (GBM)
- Fixed now, but now similar issue cropped up again in Feb 11 snapshot:
  - TCGA-12-0670 in batches 8 and 10
- A new-submission-format SDRF would make this obvious to detect
- As there would be non-unique keys

### How Many Tumors Should We Run Against?

- Presently initiate runs against all tumor types with ANY data
- But as shown in slide 4, and mostly for a lack of samples:
  - >1/3 of our tumor passes are currently < 30% success</li>
  - And several are at 0%
- Should we introduce threshold samples value?
- Move away from vacuous workspaces, dashboard entries, etc
- Towards parsimonious determinism